

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,327	01/25/2005	Peter Stanley Le Flemming Shepherd	GFR045US	5977
Paul Gradinetti Levy & Grandinetti Suite 408 1725 K Street N W Washinton, DC 20006-1419			EXAMINER	
			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/522,327	SHEPHERD, PETER STANLEY LE FLEMMING				
······································	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply l riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 <u>September 2005</u> .					
2á) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 14-23</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 14-23 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not reco	eived.				
Attachment(s)	<u>.</u>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/15/2005</u>. 	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					
i apei 110(3)/maii Date <u>2/13/2003</u> .	о) <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/522,327

Art Unit: 3637

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

(Namely, it is improper to use the term "means" in the abstract.)

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are no headings in the specification, e.g. "Detailed Description of the Drawings", etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For claim 1, it is unclear whether or not the support element is to be claimed in combination with the sliding pivot means. In the preamble of the claim, only the pivot means

Application/Control Number: 10/522,327

Art Unit: 3637

is positively claimed ("means <u>for</u> a support element"); however, in the body of the claim, the element is positively claimed with the means (e.g. "a socket (of the means) substantially parallel with said transverse portion (of the element)"). In claims 3 and 5, the item and/or stanchion are also being positively claimed with the sub-combination means. (In claim 1, they are not being positively claimed.) For examination purposes, the combination will be considered the claimed subject matter. For claim 8, "the stanchion" lacks antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-5, 9-11, and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7 and 14-23 are allowed.

(For claims 1, 7, 8, 14 and 21, the prior art fails to teach a sliding pivot means used with a support element. The means is also usable with a stanchion which is hinged to an item with which it is used and from which it can be unfolded. One end of the support element is attachable to the stanchion while the opposite end has a transverse portion extending there from. The means including a slide and a sleeve provided with a slide pin extending in substantially the same plane as the sleeve. The pin extends and is rotated in the slide and the

Art Unit: 3637

sleeve is rotatable about the transverse portion. Also, as part of the means, is a socket substantially parallel with the transverse portion which is adapted to receive the transverse portion when the stanchion is in its unfolded position.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wilkens December 21, 2006

JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINATION

1-10-13-37